Krishi Netra
ISSN : 3048-5207

Mycoherbicides in Modern Agriculture: Eco-Friendly Solutions for Weed Management

Dr. Ashwarya Lalit Tandon
Scientist, Department of Plant Pathology, College of Agriculture, IGKV, Raipur (Chhattisgarh) India- 492012
Corresponding Author : aishwarya.baghel@gmail.com,

Manuscript No: KN-V3-08/007

Abstract

Plant diseases and weeds significantly reduce agricultural productivity worldwide. While chemical herbicides have traditionally been the mainstay for weed management, they often lead to environmental pollution, herbicide resistance, and non-target effects. Mycoherbicides—bioherbicides derived from plant pathogenic fungi—offer an eco-friendly alternative with high host specificity and minimal environmental footprint. This article explores the biology, mechanisms, development, commercial use, challenges, and future prospects of mycoherbicides as potential tools not only for weed management but also as indirect contributors to plant disease management by altering the crop microenvironment.

1. Introduction

Agriculture faces twin challenges: plant diseases caused by pathogens (fungi, bacteria, viruses, nematodes) and competition from weeds. Weeds compete with crops for nutrients, water, and light, often creating microclimates favorable to pathogen proliferation. Conventional weed control heavily relies on synthetic herbicides, but these have raised environmental and health concerns, including:

- Development of herbicide-resistant weeds
- Contamination of soil and water bodies
- Toxicity to non-target species, including beneficial soil microbiota

In this context, mycoherbicides, derived from phytopathogenic fungi, are gaining attention as a sustainable, biologically based strategy. While primarily intended for weed control, their use indirectly influences plant disease dynamics by modifying crop-weed-pathogen interactions.

2. Concept of Mycoherbicides

Mycoherbicides are formulations of pathogenic fungi (or their metabolites) that are deliberately applied to manage undesirable plant species (weeds). They function by infecting and killing or suppressing weeds, often with high host specificity.

2.1 Historical Background

The concept of biological weed control dates to the early 20th century. The first successful mycoherbicide was Deightoniella torulosa, applied against Lantana camara in India. Since then, numerous fungal species have been investigated globally for their bioherbicidal potential.

2.2 Common Mycoherbicide Agents

Several fungal genera have been evaluated, including:

- Colletotrichum
- Alternaria

Krishi Netra
ISSN : 3048-5207

- Phoma
- Puccinia
- Fusarium
- Sclerotinia

Each exhibits varying degrees of host specificity and pathogenicity to target weed species.

3. Mode of Action

The efficacy of a mycoherbicide is governed by its capacity to successfully infect, colonize, and ultimately suppress or kill the target weed. This involves a complex interplay of biological, biochemical, and physiological processes that enable the fungal pathogen to overcome host defenses and cause disease. The mode of action of mycoherbicides can be broadly categorized into several key mechanisms, which are described below.

1. Germination and Host Colonization

The first step in mycoherbicide action is the germination of fungal propagules—usually spores or mycelial fragments—once they come into contact with the target plant. Successful germination depends on favorable environmental conditions such as adequate moisture, appropriate temperature, and sometimes leaf wetness duration. Following germination, the fungus produces germ tubes that adhere to the host surface, often aided by mucilage or specialized structures such as appressoria. These structures facilitate the penetration of the host epidermis either through natural openings (stomata, hydathodes) or by directly breaching the cuticle and cell walls using mechanical pressure or enzymatic activity.

2. Production of Cell Wall-Degrading Enzymes

After initial penetration, mycoherbicide fungi produce an array of hydrolytic enzymes, notably cellulases, pectinases, hemicellulases, and ligninases. These enzymes degrade the plant's structural polysaccharides—cellulose, hemicellulose, pectin, and sometimes lignin—weakening the integrity of cell walls. The dissolution of these structural barriers facilitates further invasion of internal tissues by the fungus. This not only enables systemic colonization but also compromises the mechanical strength of the plant, leading to wilting, stem collapse, or necrosis.

3. Production of Phytotoxins and Secondary Metabolites

Many mycoherbicides exert their deleterious effects through the secretion of phytotoxic compounds. These toxins can interfere with vital physiological processes in the plant, such as membrane integrity, ion balance, and enzymatic function. Some well-studied examples include oxalic acid (produced by Sclerotinia sclerotiorum), which chelates calcium and disrupts cell wall stability, and sesquiterpenes or polyketides that inhibit cellular respiration. In certain cases, these phytotoxins act systemically, spreading beyond the site of infection and inducing widespread tissue damage.

4. Disruption of Photosynthesis and Water Transport

Several mycoherbicides indirectly or directly impair photosynthesis in the target weed. Colonization of leaf tissues can lead to chlorosis (yellowing) as chlorophyll is degraded or photosynthetic machinery is inhibited. In addition, vascular pathogens such as Fusarium spp. or Verticillium spp., which have been explored as mycoherbicides, block xylem vessels either through direct invasion or production of gums and tyloses. This blockage prevents the upward movement of water and nutrients, leading to wilting, leaf abscission, and

Krishi Netra
ISSN : 3048-5207

eventual plant death.

5. Interference with Hormonal Balance

Some fungal pathogens produce or modulate plant hormones such as auxins, gibberellins, or ethylene, leading to abnormal growth patterns, gall formation, or premature senescence. By disrupting the hormonal equilibrium, these fungi further weaken the weed's competitive ability and contribute to its suppression.

In conclusion, mycoherbicides act through a multifaceted mode of action involving physical invasion, enzymatic degradation, phytotoxin production, and interference with the target plant's vital functions. This complexity not only ensures high specificity but also reduces the risk of resistance development compared to conventional herbicides.

4. Advantages of Mycoherbicides

4.1 Specificity : Most mycoherbicides exhibit narrow host ranges, minimizing risks to crops and native plants.

4.2 Environmental Safety : They degrade naturally, reducing the risk of environmental contamination.

4.3 Resistance Management : Unlike synthetic herbicides, mycoherbicides act via multiple mechanisms, limiting the potential for weed resistance development.

4.4 Integration with IPM : They can complement other control measures in integrated pest management (IPM) systems.

5. Mycoherbicides and Plant Disease Management : While designed for weed control, mycoherbicides can indirectly aid plant disease management by:

- Reducing weed reservoirs that harbor plant pathogens
- Modifying canopy structure and microclimate to reduce disease-conducive conditions
- Enhancing crop vigor through reduced competition, improving plant resilience against pathogens

For example, Colletotrichum gloeosporioides reduces Aeschynomene virginica, which is known to harbor nematodes affecting legumes.

6. Examples of Commercial and Experimental Mycoherbicides

6.1 Commercial Products

- DeVine® (Phytophthora palmivora) – Controls Morrenia odorata in citrus groves (USA).
- Collego® (Colletotrichum gloeosporioides f.sp. aeschynomene) – Controls Aeschynomene virginica in rice and soybean fields.
- BioMal® (Colletotrichum coccodes) – Targets Solanum ptychanthum (black nightshade) in Canada.

6.2 Experimental Agents

- Alternaria cassiae for Sicklepod control
- Puccinia chondrillina for rush skeletonweed
- Sclerotinia sclerotiorum for Canada thistle

Krishi Netra
ISSN : 3048-5207

7. Challenges in Mycoherbicide Development : Despite promise, several factors limit widespread adoption:

7.1 Environmental Dependency : Efficacy often hinges on environmental conditions—temperature, humidity, and leaf wetness.

7.2 Production and Formulation : Mass production of viable spores and development of shelf-stable formulations remain technically challenging.

7.3 Regulatory Hurdles : Extensive testing is required to ensure safety for non-target organisms.

7.4 Market Acceptance : Growers accustomed to fast-acting chemical herbicides may be reluctant to adopt slower-acting biological options.

8. Advances in Mycoherbicide Research

8.1 Genetic Improvement : Use of molecular tools to enhance virulence or stress tolerance in mycoherbicide strains.

8.2 Nanoformulations : Encapsulation of fungal propagules in nanoparticles to improve stability and targeted delivery.

8.3 Synergistic Combinations : Combining mycoherbicides with low-dose herbicides or adjuvants for enhanced efficacy.

8.4 Precision Agriculture : Integration with remote sensing and AI tools to apply mycoherbicides precisely where weeds occur.

9. Role in Sustainable Agriculture : The integration of mycoherbicides aligns with:

- Reduced reliance on chemical inputs
- Enhanced biodiversity in agroecosystems
- Contribution to climate-smart agriculture through reduced carbon footprint

10. Future Perspectives

10.1 Climate Change Resilience : Developing mycoherbicides suited for variable climatic conditions is critical.

10.2 Genome Editing : CRISPR and related tools could be harnessed to tailor fungi for improved bioherbicidal traits.

10.3 Integrated Weed and Disease Management : Future strategies may exploit dual-function agents capable of suppressing both weeds and pathogens or their vectors.

11. Conclusion

Mycoherbicides represent an innovative and ecologically sound tool for weed management, indirectly contributing to plant disease control. While scientific advances continue to address challenges related to formulation, delivery, and consistency, future success will depend on interdisciplinary approaches combining mycology, plant pathology, biotechnology, and agronomy.

Their incorporation into holistic plant protection strategies could substantially reduce the environmental footprint of agriculture while promoting sustainable crop production.