Krishi Netra
ISSN : 3048-5207

Technical and Accessibility Disadvantages of Artificial Intelligence in Agriculture

Sunil Meghwanshi
Master of Science in Agronomy, Mewar University, Gangrar, Chittorgarh.
Corresponding Author : sunilmeghwanshi0@gmail.com

Manuscript No: KN-V3-06/011

1. Limited Accessibility for Small and Medium Farmers: Challenges and Case Studies

Small and medium farmers (SMFs) are vital to global food security, particularly in developing regions where they form the backbone of agricultural production. Despite their critical role, these farmers face numerous challenges in adopting advanced technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI), which can significantly enhance agricultural productivity, efficiency, and sustainability. These challenges are primarily driven by financial constraints, limited access to infrastructure, and a lack of technical knowledge, which hinder the ability of SMFs to invest in and effectively utilize AI-based solutions. Furthermore, the disparity in access to resources between large-scale industrial farms and SMFs exacerbates existing inequalities in the agricultural sector, potentially widening economic and social gaps. The consequences of this technology gap can have long-term impacts on food security and the livelihoods of smallholder farmers. This report explores the financial and technical barriers to AI adoption, the potential for increasing economic disparities, and provides case studies from developing regions that illustrate the real-world challenges faced by SMFs in accessing and benefiting from technological advancements in agriculture. These case studies highlight the need for targeted policy interventions, capacity-building initiatives, and inclusive approaches to ensure that AI technologies are accessible and beneficial to all farmers, regardless of their size or location.

1.1. Financial Barriers to AI Adoption

- **High Initial Investment**: Implementing AI technologies often requires significant upfront costs for hardware, software, and training, which can be prohibitively expensive for many smallholder farmers (SMFs). These costs can include specialized sensors, cloud-based platforms, and advanced machinery tailored for AI-driven farming solutions. Many SMFs operate on tight budgets, making it difficult to invest in such technologies. Research indicates that the financial capacity of small farmers is a significant barrier, with many unable to access credit for technological investments (World Bank, 2021; IFAD, 2019). Additionally, the lack of tailored financial products for small-scale agricultural enterprises exacerbates the difficulty of securing funding for AI integration.

- **Ongoing Costs and Maintenance**: Beyond the initial investment, AI technologies require ongoing maintenance, software updates, and troubleshooting, which can be financially burdensome for smallholders who typically work with limited financial margins. For instance, AI systems may require periodic recalibration of sensors, software upgrades, and continuous monitoring to ensure optimal performance. These recurring expenses can be especially challenging for farmers with low profit margins or limited access to skilled technical support (Choudhary, P., & Mishra, A. et al., 2020; Reddy, S., & Rani, P. et al., 2021). The sustainability of AI in agriculture, therefore, is often compromised by the inability to keep up with these ongoing costs, which can discourage long-term adoption.

- **Access to Financial Services**: Small farmers frequently lack access to essential financial services such as loans, credit, and insurance, which further limits their ability to invest in modern technologies like AI. According to a study by the International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD), a large percentage of small farmers are unbanked or underbanked, which severely hinders their capacity to secure financing for technological investments (IFAD, 2018). This lack of access to credit is compounded by the risk aversion of financial institutions, who often perceive smallholder farming as high-risk, resulting in a reluctance to provide loans. As a result, small

Krishi Netra
ISSN : 3048-5207

farmers face significant barriers to adopting AI technologies, which could otherwise enhance productivity and sustainability in their operations. Furthermore, the absence of insurance options for agricultural innovations means that farmers are hesitant to invest in high-tech solutions due to the perceived financial risk.

1.2. Technical Barriers to AI Adoption

- **Limited Technical Expertise**: Many smallholder farmers (SMFs) lack the technical knowledge necessary to implement and use AI technologies effectively. This includes understanding the complex processes of data management, machine learning algorithms, and interpreting AI outputs. The challenge is further compounded by the absence of specialized training programs or accessible resources for farmers in rural regions. Without a solid grasp of how AI systems work, farmers struggle to integrate these technologies into their daily operations, ultimately limiting their potential benefits (Möller, S. et al., 2020; Zeller, M., & Sharma, M. et al., 2021).

- **Poor Internet Connectivity**: Effective use of AI often requires reliable internet access for data transfer, cloud storage, and real-time monitoring, all of which are essential for the smooth functioning of AI-based solutions. However, many rural areas in developing countries suffer from inadequate or unstable internet infrastructure, which severely limits the ability of SMFs to utilize AI tools and services. This digital divide exacerbates existing challenges faced by rural farmers, who are already struggling with limited access to other forms of technology (Aker, J. C. et al., 2011; Tiwari, A. K., & Mohanty, S. et al., 2021). Without reliable connectivity, even the most advanced AI technologies become impractical, hindering their adoption and scalability.

- **Insufficient Extension Services**: Agricultural extension services play a vital role in disseminating knowledge and providing critical support to farmers, especially in adopting new technologies. However, these services are often underfunded and understaffed, making it difficult to reach the large number of smallholder farmers who could benefit from AI-driven solutions. Extension workers, who typically serve as intermediaries between agricultural research and farmers, may lack the specialized knowledge needed to train farmers in the complexities of AI. As a result, smallholder farmers may not receive the necessary guidance to effectively adopt and implement AI technologies, preventing them from realizing the full potential of these tools. Moreover, without tailored extension services, farmers may lack the confidence or knowledge to integrate AI into their existing farming practices (Rivera, W. M., & Alex, G. et al., 2021; Manley, J., & Davis, M. et al., 2020).

1.3. Potential Widening of Economic Disparity

- **Concentration of Technological Benefits**: The adoption of AI in agriculture disproportionately benefits larger farms, which have the financial capacity to invest in cutting-edge technologies such as autonomous machinery, precision agriculture tools, and AI-driven data analytics. This creates a growing divide, as larger operations are able to increase productivity, efficiency, and profitability while reducing costs, thereby gaining a competitive edge over smaller farms. As a result, smallholders, who often lack access to these technologies, face challenges in maintaining their market position and may struggle to sustain profitability, leading to a concentration of wealth and resources in the hands of fewer, larger agricultural entities (Ocampo, J. A. et al., 2020).

- **Market Access Challenges**: Larger farms, by leveraging AI for enhanced market access, supply chain optimization, and real-time consumer demand analysis, are able to establish more efficient distribution networks. This allows them to reach broader markets, secure better prices, and reduce waste. In contrast, smaller farmers, often operating with limited technological infrastructure and market knowledge, may struggle to compete on these terms. Their inability to access these high-tech benefits could result in reduced income, loss of market share, and even forced land sales. As noted by the World Bank, such disparities can deepen rural poverty, with smallholder farmers becoming increasingly marginalized, while larger, tech-savvy farms flourish (World Bank, 2020; FAO, 2020). This shift exacerbates income inequality, particularly in rural areas where agriculture remains a primary livelihood.

Krishi Netra
ISSN : 3048-5207

- **Global Supply Chain Dependencies**: The increasing reliance on AI by large agricultural corporations can further shift power dynamics within the global supply chain. Larger firms, with their advanced AI systems, can optimize production, distribution, and logistics, securing better contracts and dominating international trade. Smaller farms, by contrast, may be left out of these high-efficiency systems, unable to meet the stringent requirements imposed by large-scale buyers or to maintain competitive pricing. This dynamic consolidates power in the hands of multinational corporations and amplifies the economic challenges faced by small farmers, as they may find themselves locked out of profitable supply chains. The result is an increased dependency of smallholders on these large corporations, who are better positioned to dictate terms in the global marketplace, thus reinforcing the power imbalance and limiting opportunities for small-scale agricultural enterprises (Chattha, T. A., & Niazi, G. S. K. et al., 2021).

2. Artificial Intelligence in Agriculture: Solutions and Suggestions

AI has immense potential to revolutionize agriculture by enhancing productivity, reducing costs, and improving sustainability. Here are some key solutions and suggestions for leveraging AI in agriculture, especially focusing on small-scale farmers.

2.1 Development of Affordable Technology for Small-Scale Farmers

Small-scale farmers often face challenges such as high costs of modern agricultural technology, limited access to resources, and low levels of technical expertise. To ensure that AI benefits reach these farmers, affordable, user-friendly technologies need to be developed.

Solutions:

- Low-cost AI-driven tools: Developing AI-powered tools like crop monitoring systems, automated irrigation, and pest management solutions that are affordable and scalable for small-scale farmers.
- Affordable sensors and devices: Introducing low-cost IoT devices (like soil moisture sensors, weather sensors, and crop health monitoring systems) integrated with AI algorithms to provide farmers with actionable insights.
- Mobile applications: Creating AI-based mobile applications that deliver tailored advice on crop management, pest control, weather forecasts, and market prices, making the technology accessible even to farmers in remote areas with limited resources.

Suggestions:

- Governments and tech companies can collaborate to offer subsidies or financing options for small-scale farmers to adopt AI solutions.
- Development of open-source AI platforms to ensure broader accessibility, allowing local innovation and customization for specific regional needs.

1. Providing AI Training to Farmers for Better Understanding and Implementation

AI technologies, while powerful, require knowledge and skills to be used effectively. Farmers need proper training to understand AI's potential and integrate it into their farming practices.

Solutions:

- Training programs: Launching online and in-person training programs for farmers on AI basics, data collection techniques, and how to use AI-powered agricultural tools for better yield.

Krishi Netra
ISSN : 3048-5207

- Partnerships with local universities and research institutes: Collaborating with institutions to provide specialized courses and workshops that cater to different levels of expertise, from beginner to advanced.
- Community-based learning: Establishing AI learning centers in rural areas where farmers can get hands-on experience with AI technology, equipment, and software tools.

Suggestions:

- Utilizing government funding and NGOs to support the establishment of AI education programs targeted at rural populations.
- Leveraging existing farmer networks and cooperatives to spread knowledge and best practices through peer-to-peer learning.

2. Implementing Strict Regulations for Data Security and Privacy

As AI technologies collect vast amounts of data from farms (e.g., soil conditions, crop health, weather data), data security and privacy become paramount. Farmers' data must be protected from misuse and unauthorized access.

Solutions:

- Data encryption and privacy laws: Establishing strong encryption protocols for farmers' data and ensuring compliance with privacy regulations such as GDPR or equivalent laws in different regions.
- Decentralized data storage: Encouraging the use of blockchain or other decentralized technologies for secure data storage and sharing, ensuring transparency and reducing the risk of data manipulation or theft.
- Data ownership: Ensuring that farmers retain ownership of their data and have control over how their data is used by third parties, such as agricultural tech companies or government agencies.

Suggestions:

- Governments should create clear guidelines on data ownership, consent, and protection, ensuring that farmers' rights are upheld when adopting AI technologies.
- Collaborative efforts between tech developers, legal experts, and farmers to establish fair terms of service and usage rights for AI-driven agricultural technologies.

3. Creating New Employment Opportunities in AI-Driven Agricultural Practices

AI adoption in agriculture may initially reduce the need for some traditional manual labor, but it also opens the door to new job opportunities, particularly in areas related to AI implementation, monitoring, and maintenance.

Solutions:

- AI technicians and maintenance personnel: Training workers in AI technologies, including the installation, operation, and maintenance of AI-driven equipment, such as autonomous tractors and drones.
- Data analysts and farm managers: Offering specialized roles for agricultural data analysts who can interpret AI-generated insights and provide advice to farmers for decision-making.
- AI application developers: Encouraging local innovation and entrepreneurship by supporting the development of region-specific AI applications tailored to local agricultural practices.

Krishi Netra
ISSN : 3048-5207

Suggestions:

- Governments and private companies can collaborate to create job creation programs focused on upskilling rural labor, helping them transition into new roles within the AI-driven agriculture ecosystem.
- Supporting the growth of rural technology hubs or incubators where new agricultural AI startups can emerge, fostering innovation and creating local employment opportunities.

3. Conclusion

Artificial intelligence (AI) offers significant potential to revolutionize agriculture, yet it is not without its disadvantages and challenges. Key issues include the high initial costs of AI technologies, which can be prohibitive for smallholder farmers. Additionally, there is a risk of data privacy breaches as sensitive agricultural data is collected and analyzed. The reliance on AI can also lead to reduced employment opportunities in rural areas, as automation may replace traditional farming jobs. Furthermore, the digital divide means that farmers in developing regions may lack access to AI technologies, exacerbating inequalities in agricultural productivity and income. Addressing these challenges requires the implementation of robust policies that promote equitable access to AI technologies and support smallholder farmers. Policymakers should consider creating frameworks that ensure fair competition, protect data privacy, and provide training programs to equip farmers with the necessary skills to use AI effectively. Future research should focus on developing cost-effective AI solutions tailored to the needs of small-scale farmers, as well as studying the social implications of AI adoption in agriculture. Additionally, exploring strategies to integrate AI with traditional farming practices may help mitigate the negative impacts of technology while enhancing agricultural productivity. By balancing the benefits and disadvantages of AI in agriculture, we can foster a more sustainable and equitable agricultural future.

References

1. Adams, R., & Kauffman, R. (2023). The Impact of Autonomous Machinery on Labor in Agriculture: A Comprehensive Study. Journal of Agricultural Economics, 75(3), 205-220.
2. Anderson, L. (2024). Community Dynamics in the Age of Automation: The Rural Experience. Rural Sociology, 89(1), 45-67.
3. Aker, J. C. (2011). Dial A for Agriculture: A Review of the Evidence on Mobile Phones and Smallholder Farmers. Agricultural Economics.
4. Bahlai, C. A., Pineda, J., & D. C. (2010). “Pesticide Use and Non-Target Effects in Agricultural Ecosystems.” Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry.
5. Baldé, C. P., Forti, V., & Kuehr, R. (2017). “The Global E-Waste Monitor 2017.” United Nations University.
6. Barocas, S., Hardt, M., & Narayanan, A. (2019). Fairness and Machine Learning. Retrieved from fairmlbook.org
7. Bramley, C., et al. (2020). The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Agriculture: Opportunities and Risks. Agricultural Sciences, 10(2), 115-124.
8. Choudhury, R., Prasad, M., & Sharma, P. (2021). “The economics of drones in Indian agriculture.” Journal of Agri-Tech Solutions, 9(4), 67-79.
9. Crawford, K. (2021). Atlas of AI: Power, Politics, and the Planetary Costs of Artificial Intelligence. Yale University Press.

Krishi Netra
ISSN : 3048-5207

10. Cummings, C. (2020). "The Risks of Over-reliance on Technology in Agriculture." Agri-Tech East.
11. Choudhary, P., & Mishra, A. (2020). Economic Impacts of AI in Agriculture: A Case Study of India. Journal of Agricultural Economics.
12. Google. (2020). "Sustainability at Google." Retrieved from Google Sustainability.
13. Ghosh, A., & Malhotra, R. (2021). "Traditional Knowledge in Agriculture: An Essential Component for Sustainable Development." Sustainable Development, 29(1), 51-59.
14. Hossain, M. S., et al. (2022). Software Vulnerabilities in Agricultural IoT Devices: A Review. International Journal of Agricultural Technology, 18(3), 1035-1050.
15. Hao, K. (2021). "AI's Energy Consumption Could Worsen Climate Change." MIT Technology Review.
16. Hastie, T., et al. (2009). "The Elements of Statistical Learning." Springer Series in Statistics.
17. Hoffmann, S., et al. (2019). "Challenges and Opportunities in the Integration of Multimodal Data for Environmental Monitoring." Remote Sensing, 11(9), 1038.
18. IPES-Food. (2017). The New Science of Food Systems: A Systems Approach to Food Security. Retrieved from ipes-food.org
19. International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD). (2019). Financing Smallholder Agriculture in Africa.
20. IFAD. (2018). The Future of Smallholder Agriculture.
21. Jones, L., & Smith, R. (2023). The Economic Impact of Data Breaches on Farmers. Agricultural Economics Journal, 22(3), 215-230.
22. Johnson, T. (2023). Migration Trends in Rural Labor Markets: Impacts of Job Displacement. Rural Studies, 62, 180-192.
23. Jiang, H., et al. (2020). "Challenges in Real-Time Monitoring and Decision Support in Smart Agriculture." Sensors, 20(10), 2854.
24. Jones, L., & Smith, R. (2023). The Economic Impact of Data Breaches on Farmers. Agricultural Economics Journal, 22(3), 215-230.
25. Kamilaris, A., & Prenafeta-Boldú, F. X. (2018). Deep learning in agriculture: A survey. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 147, 70-90.
26. Kumar, S., & Yadav, R. (2022). "Precision agriculture: Cost analysis in India." Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics, 77(1), 45-58.
27. Kumar, V., et al. (2020). Remote Sensing for Crop Monitoring: Innovations and Applications. Journal of Precision Agriculture, 19(1), 76-90.
28. Khan, A., et al. (2021). Weather Monitoring Technologies in Agriculture: A Study of IoT Applications. Journal of Environmental Science and Technology, 11(4), 1025-1037.
29. Kaur, P., & Kaur, R. (2022). Mobile Applications for Data Collection in Precision Agriculture. Journal of Agricultural Informatics, 14(2), 134-148.
30. Manley, J., & Davis, M. (2020). Extension Services and Smallholder Farmers: Barriers and Opportunities.

Krishi Netra
ISSN : 3048-5207

Agricultural Systems.

31. Naylor, R. L., & Ehrlich, P. R. (1997). Implanting Food Security in the Developing World. Food Policy, 22(1), 1-20.

32. Ocampo, J. A. (2020). Technology and Economic Disparity in Agriculture: The Case of Large vs. Small Farms. Journal of Development Studies.

33. Patel, K., & Mehta, S. (2021). "Barriers to AI adoption in Indian agriculture." Journal of Rural Development Studies, 32(2), 23-31.

34. Peterson, T., et al. (2022). Farmer Perspectives on Data Sharing in Precision Agriculture: Trust and Transparency Issues. Journal of Rural Sociology, 28(3), 301-315.

35. Pretty, J., et al. (2018). Sustainable Intensification in Agricultural Systems. Nature Sustainability, 1(5), 228-233.

36. Reddy, A., Singh, M., & Joshi, K. (2021). "Financial barriers to precision farming adoption in India." Journal of Agricultural Policy and Economics, 18(3), 102-118.

37. Raj, A., & Mohan, P. (2023). "Maintenance challenges in agricultural drones." International Journal of Agri-Tech Research, 6(3), 121-136.

38. Rao, B., & Iyer, D. (2022). "Cost-benefit analysis of robotic machinery in Indian agriculture." Agricultural Economics Review, 12(2), 47-60.

39. Raj, A., et al. (2021). "Feedback Loops and AI in Environmental Management: Risks and Mitigations." Sustainability, 13(3), 1395.

40. Thompson, S., & Patel, N. (2023). Bridging the Skills Gap: Effective Retraining Strategies for Displaced Workers in Agriculture. Journal of Vocational Education & Training, 75(2), 175-192.

41. Tiwari, A. K., & Mohanty, S. (2021). Information and Communication Technology in Agriculture: An Overview. Journal of Agricultural Science.

42. Tschakert, P., & Amani, S. (2019). "Agriculture, Technology, and Knowledge Loss: The Case of Climate-Driven Change." Environmental Science & Policy, 94, 150-157.

43. Uddin, M. J., et al. (2020). Soil Sensor Technology: Current Trends and Future Directions. Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition, 20(2), 123-135.

44. Verma, S., & Prasad, R. (2023). "Economic evaluation of robotic weed control." Agri-Engineering Today, 8(1), 88-101.

45. Venturelli, A., Rossi, R., Bianchi, F., & Smith, J. (2020). Applications of autonomous systems in agriculture: Challenges and solutions. Journal of Agricultural Engineering, 54(3), 245-260

46. Zeng, X., et al. (2018). "Recycling of Electronic Waste." Environmental Science & Technology.

47. Zeller, M., & Sharma, M. (2021). The Role of Technology in the Future of Smallholder Farming: Case Studies from South Asia. International Food Policy Research Institute.

48. Zhang, X., Wang, C., Li, X., & Wang, H. (2020). Application of Artificial Intelligence Technology in Agricultural Production. Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology, 22(3), 345-356.