

Vaccination as a Shield: Enhancing Fish Health in Aquaculture

*Shubham Janbandhu¹, Panchakarla Sedyaw², Smruthi Hareendran³, Priya Singh⁴

¹College of Fisheries Science, Kamdhenu University, Veraval- 362265

²Department of Fish Processing Technology and Microbiology, College of fisheries, Ratnagiri-415629

³Faculty of Fisheries Science, Kerala University of Fisheries and Ocean Studies, Kochi- 682506

⁴ College of Fisheries Science, Choudhary Charan Singh Haryana, Agricultural University, Hisar-125004

Corresponding Author : shubhamjanbandhu18@gmail.com

Manuscript No: KN-V2-11/001

Abstract

Vaccination in fish has emerged as a critical prophylactic strategy in aquaculture, aimed at preventing infectious diseases that pose significant risks to fish health, productivity, and industry sustainability. Vaccination improves fish immunity, providing a long-term, environmentally benign way of illness prevention in contrast to conventional techniques that rely on antibiotics and chemical treatments. In high-density farming systems, where disease outbreaks are most frequent, vaccination is very beneficial, benefiting the aquaculture industry's bottom line and wellbeing. Advances in oral and immersion vaccinations are making immunisation more accessible and less labour-intensive, despite lingering issues like as high prices, administration hurdles, and restricted vaccine availability for some species and infections. In contemporary aquaculture, this abstract emphasises the vital role that fish vaccination plays as an efficient and sustainable preventative approach. With continued research and development, vaccination is poised to become increasingly integral to disease management strategies in the industry, supporting fish welfare, environmental stewardship, and the long-term viability of aquaculture as a sustainable source of global food production.

Keywords: Health, nutrition, compounds, medicine

Introduction

Although aquaculture is essential to supplying the growing demand for seafood worldwide, disease outbreaks have a significant negative influence on the industry and can result in significant financial losses. If diseases brought on by bacteria, viruses, and parasites are not controlled, fish supplies may be completely destroyed. One of the animal food production areas with the greatest rate of growth is fish aquaculture, which is a billion-dollar industry. In addition to giving millions of people a reliable source of income, it is essential for maintaining food security and propelling the economic growth of several with over 10% of all farmed fish dying each year from infectious illnesses, the aquaculture sector still confronts considerable challenges even if good management techniques and preventative therapies greatly reduce disease susceptibility. This highlights the ongoing effects of fish infections on the aquaculture industry, amounting to more than USD 10 billion worldwide (Adams 2009). Numerous agents are responsible for disease outbreaks in fish cultures; of the documented cases, bacterial pathogens accounted for 54.9%, viruses for 22.6%, parasites for 19.4%, and mycotic agents for 3.1% (Wanja et al. 2020). This is a serious problem since it not only causes large losses in aquaculture output but also raises economic issues in the underdeveloped countries, where 90% of the aquaculture business is based (Dhar et al. 2014).

Importance of Disease Control in Aquaculture

Infectious illnesses pose serious risks to the aquaculture sector. Pathogens may spread swiftly in crowded settings, leading to high death rates. For instance, parasite infections like Ichthyophthiriasis (often referred to

as "Ich"), bacterial illnesses like vibriosis, and viral hemorrhagic septicaemia (VHS) are prevalent problems in aquaculture systems. Maintaining environmental sustainability, minimising financial losses, and guaranteeing food security all depend on controlling these illnesses. Fish illnesses have traditionally been treated with antibiotics, but abuse of these drugs has resulted in the evolution of bacteria resistant to them (Defoirdt et al., 2011). This has led to a quest for other methods of disease prevention, and the most promising one is vaccination (Gudding & Van Muiswinkel, 2013).

Mechanisms of Fish Immunity

Although they are not exactly the same as those of mammals, fish have both innate and adaptive immune responses. The initial line of defence is provided by the innate immune system, which includes cellular elements like phagocytes and physical barriers like skin and mucus (Magnadóttir, 2006). B and T lymphocytes are part of the adaptive immune system, which enables fish to produce particular responses to infections. The purpose of the fish immune system is to detect and get rid of foreign objects. The innate and adaptive immune systems are its two subsystems. Adaptive immunity is a mechanism in which the organism creates immunological memory against the disease through genetic mutations and recombination, even if both subsystems work to protect the body. The body's physical and anatomical barriers, such as the mucosa and epithelium, act as a barrier against or chemically react to anything that is alien or non-self, demonstrating the non-specific nature of innate immunity (Smith et al., 2019).

Bacterial, Viral, and Parasitic Diseases in Fish

Fish are susceptible to a variety of diseases, both infectious and non-infectious. A harmful organism that is either found in the environment or transmitted via contact is the cause of infectious illnesses. Non-infectious illnesses are not communicable and are brought on by a confluence of genetic and environmental abnormalities. Fish can get infectious diseases directly or indirectly, and environmental factors like polluted culture and poor water quality can hasten the spread of these illnesses. Fish frequently get three different kinds of infections: bacterial, viral, and parasitic. Pathogenic bacterial species from the genera *Aeromonas*, *Flavobacterium*, *Streptococcus*, *Vibrio*, and *Edwardsiella* frequently infect fish (Wamala et al. 2018). In the aquatic environment, bacterial infections are common (Viršek et al. 2017). An outbreak of bacterial infections can be triggered by induced stress and causative causes, such as organic pollution (Kumari, 2020). Exophthalmia, epithelial lesions, and anorexia are common signs of bacterial infections that can be treated with medicines and immunisations. Antibiotics are used in severe instances, however intraperitoneal or bath vaccinations are preferred for treating bacterial infections. Some bacterial illnesses, but not all of them, have vaccines available. Viral infection may spread quickly by manipulating host DNA, and virus-host interactions can result in changes at the cellular level. Iridoviridae, Herpesviridae, and Adenoviridae are well-characterized DNA viruses (Gui et al., 2018).

Antibiotic use and limitations

The strongest defence against disease outbreaks in aquaculture is prevention. To prevent bacterial infections, it is standard practice to give food containing antibiotics to sick fish (Cabello, 2006). However, since sick fish may still be off-feed, this is typically costly and ineffective. Additionally, overuse of chemicals to combat microorganisms has resulted in drug resistance, which presents serious threats to national security and public health. Additionally, it has been noted that antibiotic resistance gives resistant community members a selection advantage by allowing microorganisms to tolerate high antibiotic concentrations. Susceptible strains are

outcompeted by resistant ones. The fact that antibiotics used in aquaculture and human treatment overlap is a worrying problem since it leads to drug resistance (Pepi & Focardi, 2021).

Types of Vaccines Used in Fish

1. Inactivated Vaccines

The pathogens in inactivated vaccines have been rendered non-infectious but still able to elicit an immune response by heat or chemical treatments. In fish aquaculture, these vaccinations are frequently used to prevent bacterial illnesses such as vibriosis and enteric redmouth disease (ERD) (Lillehaug, 2014). Although inactivated vaccines are safe and durable, booster doses are frequently necessary to keep them effective.

2. Live Attenuated Vaccines

Weakened strains of the pathogen, which may reproduce in the host to a limited extent but do not cause illness, are used in live attenuated vaccines. Compared to inactivated vaccines, they likely to elicit a more robust immunological response (Lillehaug, 2014). They are more dangerous, though, since the attenuated pathogen may return to its virulent state. Live attenuated vaccines, for example, are used to prevent infectious pancreatic necrosis (IPN).

3. Subunit Vaccines

Subunit vaccines only include particular pathogen proteins or antigens that are adequate to elicit an immune response. Because the entire pathogen is not present in these vaccinations, they are safer. To increase their immunogenicity, they frequently need to be combined with adjuvants due to their complicated manufacturing (Sommerset et al., 2005).

4. DNA Vaccines

A relatively recent development in fish immunisation is DNA vaccines. By introducing a plasmid with a gene that codes for a disease antigen, these vaccines initiate an immune response. When it comes to protecting fish from viral infections like infectious haematopoietic necrosis virus (IHNV), DNA vaccines have proven very successful (Martínez-Alvarez et al., 2009). They have the benefit of triggering humoral and cellular immune responses.

5. Recombinant Vaccines

In order to create recombinant vaccines, bacteria or yeast are genetically modified to manufacture antigens from fish infections. Because of their high specificity and vast production capacity, these vaccines are an affordable choice for aquaculture mass immunisation campaigns (Toranzo et al., 2009).

Modes of Vaccine Delivery

Delivering vaccines to fish populations presents unique challenges due to the aquatic environment and the diversity of species farmed. There are several methods used to vaccinate fish, each with its own advantages and limitations.

1. Injection

The most straightforward and efficient method of vaccinating fish is by injection. It guarantees a strong

immunological response by giving each fish an exact dosage of the vaccination (Gudding & Van Muiswinkel, 2013). However, it requires a lot of work and isn't appropriate for large-scale operations or little fish.

2. Immersion

Fish are immersed in a vaccine-containing fluid as part of the immersion vaccination process. This technique is popular for fingerlings and fry and requires less work than injection (Brudeseth et al., 2013). However, immersion vaccinations often cause a poorer immune response compared to injectable vaccines and may require booster doses.

3. Oral Administration

Because oral vaccinations are administered through feed, this approach is quite feasible for large-scale operations. However, because oral vaccinations degrade in the fish's digestive tract, they are often less effective and need to be administered more frequently and in larger doses (Gudding & Van Muiswinkel, 2013).

Vaccination strategies

Because so many different primary and opportunistic pathogens have been linked to disease outbreaks, bacterial infections are perhaps the major disease kinds in aquaculture that are most worrying. At every stage of growth, cultured fish are susceptible to a variety of bacterial diseases. Significant mortality and morbidity in a number of cultured freshwater fish species using either semi-intensive or intensive pond culture techniques are mostly caused by infections such as *Staphylococcus*, *Edwardsiella*, *Pseudomonas*, *Flavobacterium*, *Aeromonas*, and others (Saikia et al., 2017). Despite the adverse effects of chemotherapeutic and preventative medications, such as drug resistance and accumulation in the human body, infectious diseases remain the primary cause of death and morbidity in all cultivated species. In order to prevent significant financial losses, disease outbreaks must be prevented, which calls for the creation of vaccinations against important diseases. Immunisation is the most effective disease control method in light of tighter laws regarding the use of antibiotics and the growing problems with antibiotic resistance (Mohamad et al., 2021).

Sr. No	Drug	Commercial-Name	Usage	Approved Species
.1	Chorionic gonadotropin	®Chorulon	For improving spawning function in male and female brood finfish	Brood finfish
.2	Formalin	Formaldehyde solution	For the control of Protozoa and Monogenetic Trematodes, and on the eggs of Salmon, Trout and Pike (esocids) for control of Fungus of the family Sapro-legniacea	Finfish and their eggs, Penaeid shrimp, Salmon, Trout, Catfish and Bluegill
.3	Florfenicol	Aquaflor® Type A	For the control of mortality due to enteric septicemia of catfish. The tolerance for florfenicol amine (the marker residue) in muscle (the target tissue) is 1 ppm	Channel catfish salmonids

.4	Tricaine methane-sulfonate	Tricaine-S MS-222	It may not be used within 21 days of harvesting fish for food. The drug should be limited to hatchery or laboratory use	Ictaluridae (catfish), Salmonidae, Esocidae and Percidae
.5	Oxytetracycline dihydrate	Terramycin®200	, For feed use. In Salmonids 21 days; Catfish, 21 days; Lobster, 30 days. Oxytetracycline tolerance in the flesh is 2.0 ppm	Catfish, Salmonids, Lobster

Advantages of Vaccination in Fish

The use of vaccines in aquaculture offers numerous benefits, including:

Disease Prevention: Vaccination reduces the incidence and severity of infectious diseases, leading to lower mortality rates.

Reduction in Antibiotic Use: By preventing diseases, vaccination minimizes the need for antibiotics, which helps combat the issue of antibiotic resistance (Defoirdt et al., 2011).

Environmental Sustainability: Reduced use of antibiotics and chemicals in fish farming contributes to healthier ecosystems (Leong et al., 1997).

Economic Benefits: Healthy fish populations lead to higher productivity and profitability for aquaculture operations (Gudding & Van Muiswinkel, 2013).

Challenges and Limitations of Vaccination

Despite the benefits, several challenges hinder the widespread adoption of vaccination in fish farming.

Vaccine Development: Developing vaccines for fish species is more complex than for terrestrial animals due to the diversity of species and pathogens involved (Sommerset et al., 2005).

Cost: The production and administration of vaccines can be expensive, particularly for small-scale farmers (Lillehaug, 2014).

Effectiveness: Some vaccines may not provide full protection or may require multiple doses, which increases labor and costs (Brudeseth et al., 2013).

Conclusion

Fish vaccination has been shown to be a successful preventative measure in aquaculture, with great promise for enhancing productivity, lowering mortality, and improving fish health. Fish vaccinations can prevent infections caused by bacteria, viruses, and parasites—all of which are prevalent in intense aquaculture settings—by boosting the immune system. Furthermore, even with advancements in oral and immersion vaccinations, administering immunisations to little fish—especially in big populations—remains a labour-intensive task. Enhancing vaccination effectiveness, expanding the selection of vaccines, and creating novel delivery systems all depend on ongoing research and development. To sum up, fish vaccination is a useful and becoming more and more important preventative technique in aquaculture, with advantages for the economy, ecology, and human

health. In order to face the problems of contemporary aquaculture, vaccination is essential since it reduces disease outbreaks, encourages sustainable farming, and improves food safety. Continued developments in vaccine technology, delivery methods, and accessibility will reinforce vaccination's position as a fundamental element of ethical aquaculture and contribute to the long-term viability of this crucial sector.

References

- Adams, A. *Progress, challenges and opportunities in fish vaccine development. Fish Shellfish. Immunol.* 2019, 90, 210–214.
- Brudeseth, B. E., et al. (2013). *Vaccine and vaccination strategies for farmed fish. Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, 35(6), 1759-1763.
- Cabello FC (2006) *Heavy use of prophylactic antibiotics in aquaculture: a growing problem for human and animal health and for the environment. Environ Microbiol* 8(7):1137–1144
- Defoirdt, T., et al. (2011). *Alternatives to antibiotics for the control of bacterial disease in aquaculture. Current Opinion in Microbiology*, 14(3), 251-258.
- Dhar, A.K.; Manna, S.K.; Allnut, F.C.T. *Viral vaccines for farmed finfish. Virusdisease* 2014, 25, 1–17.
- Gudding, R., & Van Muiswinkel, W. B. (2013). *A history of fish vaccination: science-based disease prevention in aquaculture. Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, 35(6), 1683-1688.
- Gui, L.; Chinchar, V.G.; Zhang, Q. *Molecular basis of pathogenesis of emerging viruses infecting aquatic animals. Aquac. Fish.* 2018, 3, 1–5.
- Kumari, S. *Freshwater and marine water fish diseases: A review. Int. J. Fish Aquat. Stud.* 2020, 8, 4.
- Leong, J. A., et al. (1997). *Fish vaccines: past, present and future. Diseases of Aquatic Organisms*, 28, 113-122.
- Lillehaug, A. (2014). *Vaccination in European aquaculture: Current status and future perspectives. Veterinary Research Communications*, 28(S1), 3-9.
- Magnadóttir, B. (2006). *Innate immunity of fish (overview). Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, 20(2), 137-151.
- Martínez-Alvarez, R. M., et al. (2009). *DNA vaccines for fish: An overview of practical considerations. Aquaculture Research*, 40(12), 1355-1366.
- Mohamad A, Zamri-Saad M, Amal MNA, Al-saari N, Monir MS, Chin YK, Md Yasin IS (2021) *Vaccine efficacy of a newly developed feed-based whole-cell polyvalent vaccine against vibriosis, streptococcosis and motile aeromonad septicemia in Asian seabass. Lates Calcarifer Vac* 9(4):368.
- Pepi M, Focardi S (2021) *Antibiotic-resistant bacteria in aquaculture and climate change: a challenge for*

health in the Mediterranean area. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 18(11):5723.

Saikia DJ, Chattopadhyay P, Banerjee G, Sarma D (2017) Time and dose dependent effect of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection on the scales of *Channa punctata* (Bloch) through light and electron microscopy. *Turkish J Fisher AquaSci* 17:871–876

Singh, M., & Singh, P. (2018). *Drugs and chemicals applied in aquaculture industry: A review of commercial availability, recommended dosage and mode of application.* *J Entomol Zool Stud*, 6(6), 903-7.

Smith, N.C.; Rise, M.L.; Christian, S.L. *A Comparison of the Innate and Adaptive Immune Systems in Cartilaginous Fish, Ray-Finned Fish, and Lobe-Finned Fish.* *Front. Immunol.* 2019, 10, 2292.

Sommerset, I., et al. (2005). *Vaccine adjuvants in fish vaccines.* *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, 20(2), 137-151.
Surachetpong, W.; Roy, S.R.K.; Nicholson, P. *Tilapia Lake virus: The story so far.* *J. Fish Dis.* 2020, 43, 1115–1132.

Toranzo, A. E., et al. (2009). *Progress in fish vaccination against parasitic and viral diseases.* *Developmental and Comparative Immunology*, 33(2), 260-270.

Viršek, M.K.; Lovšin, M.N.; Koren, Š.; Kržan, A.; Peterlin, M. *Microplastics as a vector for the transport of the bacterial fish pathogen species *Aeromonas salmonicida*.* *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 2017, 125, 301–309.

Wamala, S.P.; Mugimba, K.K.; Mutoloki, S.; Evensen, O.; Mdegela, R.; Byarugaba, D.K.; Sorum, H. *Occurrence and antibiotic susceptibility of fish bacteria isolated from *Oreochromis niloticus* (Nile tilapia) and *Clarias gariepinus* (African catfish) in Uganda.* *Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 2018, 21, 6.

Wanja, D.W.; Mbutia, P.G.; Waruiru, R.M.; Mwadime, J.M.; Bebora, L.C.; Nyaga, P.N.; Ngowi, H.A. *Fish Husbandry Practices and Water Quality in Central Kenya: Potential Risk Factors for Fish Mortality and Infectious Diseases.* *Vet. Med. Int.* 2020, 2020, 6839354.